Response Table to Comments from Public Meeting McGibbon on Main (Georgetown) Corner of Main and Mill

Member of the Public	Comment	Status/Explanation
B Cunningham- October	In support of the project.	Thank you for your support.
21, 2020	Will the building footprint be larger?	No, the building footprint is not larger and the gross floor area is actually a bit less a
	Are there still step backs in the building design?	There are additional step backs in the new design.
	Are the unit types varied?	Because of all of the step backs, there is a great variety of unit types including one, t
	Are the visitor and accessible parking requirements being maintained?	Although resident parking requirements are being reduced, the visitor and accessibl
	Are there storage lockers and bicycle parking?	There are no personal lockers included in the project but the units have quite a bit o parking inside and outside of the building.
	Is the Heritage Façade being maintained and are the original bricks being reused?	The existing bricks are being carefully removed and reused in the new construction a
	Are the units going to be dark inside?	We have added more window space to ensure good interior natural light.
	Is the building still 10 storeys in height?	The building is still 10 storeys in height with the 10 th storey units having lofts.
	Is the \$500,000 heritage contribution still being provided?	Yes the previously agreed to heritage contribution is being provided.
	Is access to the downtown core being maintained during construction?	Access to the downtown core is being maintained during construction
B Cunningham- October	Complete support for the revitalized development plan.	The support is appreciated.
23, 2020	Would like bicycle parking included in the proposal.	
	Glad the project is adhering to the previously agreed to heritage requirements.	The proposal includes bicycle parking for the residents and visitors.
		Confirm that we are honouring the previous heritage requirements.
Chris Meredith-	Please clarify that the new construction will be consistent with	The new construction is reusing the existing bricks which are being carefully remove
October 26, 2020	the Tribunal decision regarding the reuse of the existing bricks.	consultant. We requested that "some of the" be inserted into the by-law with respe
		the bricks that are damaged and cannot be reused.
Chris Meredith- October 27, 2020	Wants the heritage look of the street maintained.	We believe the updated renderings address this concern.
Christopher Algeo-	Concerned about building massing and indicates that the City of	The building profile does maintain (exceed) the 45 degree angular plane requiremer
October 27, 2020	Toronto uses a 45 degree angular plane requirement to address	
	massing. Would like to see a shadow study.	Shadow studies were completed and illustrate a slightly smaller shadow impact thar
Christopher Algeo-	Asks more detailed questions about the shadow impacts.	The shadow impacts are less than the original proposal for the site.
October 27, 2020	Concerned about the blank wall along Mill Street.	The blank wall along Mill Street is required to hide the parking that is behind it. Inve
	concerned about the blank wan along with street.	able to go as deep as originally intended and so parking will be available at grade, wi
		plan we will ensure the Mill façade is attractive.
Dave Carney- October	Inquired about whether there will still be storefronts as part of	The revised proposal has slightly under 4,000 square feet of commercial gross floor a
26, 2020	the development.	
	Inquired about whether there will still be three levels of parking.	There are now two levels of parking because of the high water table and the Record
	Inquired about whether the project still includes restoration of	The existing bricks are going to be used in the new project as illustrated on the rend
	the existing facades.	
Dave Carney- October	Concerned about the look of the building.	There is now a rendering for the proposed development which should address the c
27, 2020	Inquiring about the commercial space.	There is slightly less than 4,000 square feet of commercial space along Main Street,
		achieve barrier free entrance on that side of the building.
	Are the existing bricks being reused?	Yes the existing bricks are being reused as illustrated on the rendering.

s as a result of increased step backs.

e, two and three bedroom units. ible parking requirements are being maintained.

t of storage in their designs. There is a lot of bicycle

on as originally contemplated.

ved under the watchful eye of the municipality's spect to the use of the bricks as there are a few of

ient.

nan the original proposal.

nvestigation has resulted in the parking not being within the building, along Mill Street. Through site

or area.

rd of Site Condition that pertains to the property. ndering which had not been previously available.

concerns.

t, the elevation drop along Mill makes it difficult to

Member of the Public	Comment	Status/Explanation
Leonard Lovely-	Suggests using new brick of similar colour.	As many of the existing brick as possible will be reused.
October 20, 2020	Suggests eliminating the sign and include a bronze plaque.	Agreed the sign should be eliminated and replaced with a bronze plaque.
	Is the same architect being used.	A new architect is being used.
	Is there a schedule for the new project.	The developer would like to commence construction as soon as the required sales th
Mary Pereira- October	Had purchased a unit in the original proposal and felt the	We will do what we can to have timely, effective communication.
18, 2020	communication was inadequate.	
Shaun Horton- October	Concerned if the project does not proceed as currently the	It is the intention to proceed.
27, 2020	building is deteriorating.	
	Would like to have a community design session.	There has been considerable community involvement in this project to date.

s threshold is met.